2 SUPERIOR COURT of CALIFORNIA COUNTY of SANTA BARBARA 3 02/01/2023 Darrel E. Parker, Executive Officer 4 BY Temple, Kristi Deputy Clerk 5 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA 10 11 12 NICOLE NAGEL, an individual; ESY Case No: 15CV01178 INVESTMENTS, LLC, a California limited 13 [Assigned to the Hon. Donna D. Geck, Dept. 4] liability company. Plaintiff, JUDGMENT ON JURY VERDICT 15 vs. 16 TRACY A. WESTEN and LINDA LAWSON. 17 individually and as trustees of the WESTEN AND LAWSON TRUST U/A DATED MAY 18 8, 1999, aka THE WESTEN-LAWSON 1999 REVOCABLE TRUST: DEREK WESTEN. 19 WESTEN FAMILY TRUST U/A DATED 20 JULY 2, 1999, PETER K. WESTEN, WESTEN 2010 TRUST U/A DATED JULY 21 28, 2010, WESTEN FAMILY GROUP LLC and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, 22 23 Defendants. 24 This action came regularly for trial on August 15, August 17-18, August 22-25, August 29-25 September 2, September 6-8 and November 1, 2022, and January 17, 2023, in Department 4 of the 26 Superior Court, the Honorable Donna D. Geck, Judge presiding; plaintiff Nicole Nagel 27

("Plaintiff") appearing by attorneys Jeffrey B. Valle, Jennifer Laser, and Ilan Wisnia, and the

28

1 defendants Derek Westen and Westen Family Group, LLC, appearing by attorney Nathan C. 2 Rogers and defendants Peter Westen and Westen Family Group LLC, appearing by attorneys 3 Ronald Zonen and R. Chris Kroes. 4 A jury of persons was regularly impaneled and sworn. Witnesses were sworn and testified. 5 After hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel, the jury was instructed by the Court and the 6 cause was submitted to the jury. The jury deliberated and thereafter returned its verdict as set 7 forth in the Special Verdict Forms attached hereto as Exhibits A, B and C. 8 On January 17, 2023, the Court ruled that Derek Westen and Westen Family Group, LLC 9 did not engage in the conduct with malice, oppression, or fraud. The Court previously ruled that 10 Plaintiff was not entitled to seek punitive damages against Peter Westen. 11 THEREFORE, based on the jury's verdict, Plaintiff is entitled to judgment against 12 Defendants as follows: 13 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, based on the 14 jury's verdict, Plaintiff recover from said Defendants the following sums of money with interest 15 thereon at the rate of ten percent (10%) per annum from the date of the entry of this judgment until 16 paid: 17 1. From Westen Family Group, LLC: \$2,000,000; 18 2. From Derek Westen: \$50,000; and 19 3. From Peter Westen: \$50,000. 20 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiff recover from 21 said Defendants costs and attorney's fees as follows: 22 1. From Westen Family Group, LLC: 23 2. From Derek Westen: 24 3. From Peter Westen: 25 Conna D. Leck 26 27 Dated:

-2-

28

02/01/2023

Honorable Donna D. Geck, Judge of the Superior Court

EXHIBIT A

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

SEP 08 2022

Darrel E. Perker, Executive Officer

Kristi Temple, Deputy Clerk

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

.9

///

ANACAPA DIVISION

| NICOLE NAGEL, an individual; ESY INVESTMENTS, LLC, a California limited | Case No.: 15CV01178 |
|---|---|
| liability company, Plaintiffs, | SPECIAL VERDICT FORM REGARDING DEFENDANT DEREK WESTEN |
| v. TRACY A. WESTEN and LINDA LAWSON, individually and as trustees of the WESTEN AND LAWSON TRUST U/A DATED MAY 8, 1999, aka THE WESTEN-LAWSON 1999 REVOCABLE TRUST; DEREK WESTEN, WESTEN FAMILY TRUST U/A DATED JULY 2, 1999, PETER K. WESTEN, WESTEN 2010 TRUST U/A DATED JULY 28, 2010, WESTEN FAMILY GROUP, LLC and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, | [Assigned to Hon. Donna D. Geck] |
| Defendants. | |
| We, the jury in the above-entitled action follows: | n, answer the questions submitted to us as |

| 1 | ISSUE NO. 1: ANNUITY |
|----|---|
| 2 | 1. Does Nicole Nagel have a right to payment from Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson |
| 3 | pursuant to her judgment against them? |
| 4 | YESNO |
| 5 | If your answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answered no, skip to |
| 6 | question 14. |
| 7 | 2. Did Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson transfer their Ameriprise annuity? |
| 8 | YESNO |
| 9 | If your answer to question 2 is yes, then answer question 3. If you answered no, skip to |
| ιο | question 14. |
| 11 | 3. Did Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson transfer their Ameriprise annuity with the |
| 12 | intent to hinder, delay, or defraud one of their creditors? |
| 13 | YESNO |
| 14 | If your answer to question 3 is yes, then answer question 4. If you answered no, skip to |
| 15 | question 14. |
| 16 | 4. Did Nicole Nagel incur harm? |
| 17 | YESNO |
| 18 | If your answer to question 4 is yes, then answer question 5. If you answered no, skip to |
| 19 | question 14. |
| 20 | 5. Was the transfer of the Ameriprise annuity a substantial factor in causing harm |
| 21 | to Nicole Nagel? |
| 22 | YESNO |
| 23 | If your answer to question 5 is yes, then answer question 6 . If you answered no, skip to |
| 24 | question 14. |
| 25 | 6. What is the amount of Nicole Nagel's economic damages related to the transfer |
| 26 | of the Ameriprise annuity? |
| 27 | \$ |
| 28 | Answer question 7. |
| | SPECIAL VERDICT FORM RE DEFENDANT DEREK WESTEN |

| 1 | 7. | Was the transfer of the Ameriprise annuity a substantial factor in causing Nicole |
|----|---------------|--|
| 2 | Nagel to suff | er physical or emotional injuries? |
| 3 | | YESNO |
| 4 | If you | r answer to question 7 is yes, then answer question 8. If you answered no, skip to |
| 5 | question 9. | |
| 6 | 8. | What is the amount of damages for Nicole Nagel's physical or emotional injuries |
| 7 | related to th | e transfer of the Ameriprise annuity? |
| 8 | | \$ |
| 9 | Proce | eed to question 9. |
| 10 | 9. | Did Derek Westen know that Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson were going to |
| 11 | transfer thei | r Ameriprise annuity with the intent to hinder, delay or defraud one of their |
| 12 | creditors? | |
| 13 | | YESNO |
| 14 | If you | ir answer to question 9 is yes, then answer question 10. If you answered no, skip |
| 15 | to question | 14. |
| 16 | 10. | Did Derek Westen agree with and intend that Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson |
| 17 | transfer the | ir Ameriprise annuity with the intent to hinder, delay, or defraud one of Tracy |
| 18 | Westen's an | d Linda Lawson's creditors? |
| 19 | | YESNO |
| 20 | If you | ar answer to question 10 is yes, then answer question 11. If you answered no, skip |
| 21 | to question | 12. |
| 22 | 11. | In reaching an agreement with Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson to transfer their |
| 23 | Ameriprise | annuity, did Derek Westen intend to hinder, delay or defraud one of Tracy |
| 24 | Westen's an | d Linda Lawson's creditors? |
| 25 | | YESNO |
| 26 | | eed to question 12. |
| 27 | 12. | Did Derek Westen give substantial assistance or encouragement to Tracy Westen |
| 28 | and Linda L | awson to make the transfer of their Ameriprise annuity? |
| i | | 3 |
| | Į. | SPECIAL VERDICT FORM RE DEFENDANT DEREK WESTEN |

| ı | | |
|----|--|--|
| 1 | YESNO | |
| 2 | If your answer to question 12 is yes, then answer question 13. If you answered no, skip | |
| 3 | to question 14. | |
| 4 | 13. In providing substantial assistance or encouragement to Tracy Westen and | |
| 5 | Linda Lawson with respect to the transfer of their Ameriprise annuity, did Derek Westen | |
| 6 | intend to hinder, delay or defraud one of Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's creditors? | |
| 7 | YESNO | |
| 8 | Proceed to question 14. | |
| 9 | ISSUE NO. 2: TEXAS HOUSE | |
| 10 | 14. Does Nicole Nagel have a right to payment from Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson | |
| 11 | pursuant to her judgment against them? | |
| 12 | XYESNO | |
| 13 | If your answer to question 14 is yes, then answer question 15. If you answered no, skip | |
| 14 | to question 27. | |
| 15 | 15. Did Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson's purchase of a home in Texas and claim of | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | X YESNO | |
| 18 | If your answer to question 15 is yes, then answer question 16. If you answered no, skip | |
| 19 | to question 27. | |
| 20 | 16. Did Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson purchase a home in Texas and claim a | |
| 21 | homestead exemption there with the intent to hinder, delay, or defraud one of their creditors? | |
| 22 | YESNO | |
| 23 | If your answer to question 16 is yes, then answer question 17. If you answered no, skip | |
| 24 | to question 27. | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | 17. Did Nicole Nagel incur harm? | |
| 27 | YESNO | |
| 28 | If your answer to question 17 is yes, then answer question 18. If you answered no, skip | |
| | CDECIAL VEDDICT FORM DE DEPENDANT DEDEN METERNA | |
| | SPECIAL VERDICT FORM RE DEFENDANT DEREK WESTEN | |

| 1 | to question 27. | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | 18. Was Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's purchase of a home in Texas and claim | |
| 3 | of a homestead exemption there a substantial factor in causing c harm to Nicole Nagel? | |
| 4 | YESNO | |
| 5 | If your answer to question 18 is yes, then answer question 19. If you answered no, skip | |
| 6 | to question 27. | |
| 7 | 19. What is the amount of Nicole Nagel's economic damages related to Tracy | |
| 8 | Westen's and Linda Lawson's purchase of a home in Texas and claim of a homestead | |
| 9 | exemption there? | |
| 10 | \$ 1,884,966.00 | |
| 11 | Answer question 20. | |
| 12 | 20. Was Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's purchase of a home in Texas and claim | |
| 13 | of a homestead exemption there a substantial factor in causing Nicole Nagel to suffer physical | |
| 14 | or emotional injuries? | |
| 15 | YESNO | |
| 16 | If your answer to question 20 is yes, then answer question 21. If you answered no, skip | |
| 17 | to question 22. | |
| 18 | 21. What is the amount of damages for Nicole Nagel's physical or emotional injuries | |
| 19 | caused by Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's purchase of a home in Texas and claim of a | |
| 20 | homestead exemption there? | |
| 21 | \$ 150,000.00 | |
| 22 | Proceed to question 22. | |
| 23 | 22. Did Derek Westen know that Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson were going to | |
| 24 | purchase a home in Texas and claim a homestead exemption there with the intent to hinder, | |
| 25 | delay or defraud one of Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's creditors? | |
| 26 | X YESNO | |
| 27 | If your answer to question 22 is yes, then answer question 23. If you answered no, skip | |
| 28 | to question 27. | |
| | 5 SPECIAL VERDICT FORM RE DEFENDANT DEREK WESTEN | |

| 1 | 23. Did Derek Westen agree with and intend that Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson | |
|----|--|----|
| 2 | purchase a home in Texas and claim a homestead exemption there with the intent to hinder, | |
| 3 | delay, or defraud one of Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's creditors? | |
| 4 | YES XNO | Ì |
| 5 | If your answer to question 23 is yes, then answer question 24. If you answered no, skip | |
| 6 | to question 25. | |
| 7 | 24. In reaching an agreement with Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson regarding the | |
| 8 | purchase of a home in Texas and claim of a homestead exemption there, did Derek Westen | |
| 9 | intend to hinder, delay or defraud one of Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's creditors? | |
| 10 | YESNO , | |
| 11 | Proceed to question 25. | |
| 12 | 25. Did Derek Westen give substantial assistance or encouragement to Tracy Wester | .1 |
| 13 | and Linda Lawson with respect to the purchase of a home in Texas and claim of a homestead | |
| 14 | exemption there? | |
| 15 | YES X_NO | |
| 16 | If your answer to question 25 is yes, then answer question 26. If you answered no, skip | . |
| 17 | to question 27. | Ì |
| 18 | 26. In giving substantial assistance or encouragement to Tracy Westen and Linda | į |
| 19 | Lawson with respect to purchasing a home in Texas and claiming a homestead exemption | į |
| 20 | there, did Derek Westen intend to hinder, delay or defraud one of Tracy Westen's and Linda | |
| 21 | Lawson's creditors? | |
| 22 | YESNO | |
| 23 | Proceed to question 27. | |
| 24 | ISSUE NO. 3: WESTEN FAMILY GROUP INTEREST | |
| 25 | 27. Does Nicole Nagel have a right to payment from Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson | n |
| 26 | pursuant to her judgment against them? | |
| 27 | X_yesno | |
| 28 | | |
| | 6 | |
| | SPECIAL VERDICT FORM RE DEFENDANT DEREK WESTEN | _ |

| 1 | If your answer to question 27 is yes, then answer question 28. If you answered no, stop | | |
|-----|--|--|--|
| 2 | here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. | | |
| 3 | 28. Did Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson transfer all or part of their economic | | |
| 4 | interest in Westen Family Group, a California limited liability company to Westen Family | | |
| 5 | Group, a Nevada limited liability company? | | |
| 6 | <u>X</u> YES NO | | |
| 7 | If your answer to question 28 is yes, then answer question 29. If you answered no, stop | | |
| 8 | here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. | | |
| 9 | 29. Did Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson transfer their economic interest in Westen | | |
| 10 | Family Group, LLC with the intent to hinder, delay, or defraud one of their creditors? | | |
| 11 | X YESNO | | |
| 12 | If your answer to question 29 is yes, then answer question 30. If you answered no, stop | | |
| 13 | here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. | | |
| 14 | 30. Did Nicole Nagel incur harm? | | |
| 15 | X_YESNO | | |
| 16 | If your answer to question 30 is yes, then answer question 31. If you answered no, stop | | |
| 17 | here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. | | |
| 18 | 31. Was the transfer of Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's economic interest in | | |
| 19 | Westen Family Group, LLC a substantial factor in causing harm to Nicole Nagel? | | |
| 20 | YESNO | | |
| 21 | If your answer to question 31 is yes, then answer question 32. If you answered no, stop | | |
| 22 | here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. | | |
| 23. | 32. What is the amount of Nicole Nagel's economic damages related to the transfer | | |
| 24 | Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's economic interest in Westen Family Group, LLC? | | |
| 25 | \$ <u>0.0</u> | | |
| 26 | Answer question 33. | | |
| 27 | 33. Was the transfer of Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's economic interest in | | |
| 28 | Westen Family Group, LLC, a substantial factor in causing Nicole Nagel to suffer physical or | | |
| | 7 | | |
| | SPECIAL VERDICT FORM RE DEFENDANT DEREK WESTEN | | |
| } | | | |

.

| 1 | emotional injuries? |
|----|--|
| 2 | YESNO |
| 3 | If your answer to question 33 is yes, then answer question 34. If you answered no, skip |
| 4 | to question 35. |
| 5 | 34. What is the amount of damages for Nicole Nagel's physical or emotional injuries |
| 6 | caused by the transfer of Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's economic interest in Westen |
| 7 | Family Group, LLC? |
| 8 | \$_50,000.00 |
| 9 | Proceed to question 35. |
| 10 | 35. Did Derek Westen know that Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson were going to |
| 11 | transfer their economic interest in Westen Family Group, LLC with the intent to hinder, delay |
| 12 | or defraud one of Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's creditors? |
| 13 | X YESNO |
| 14 | If your answer to question 35 is yes, then answer question 36. If you answered no, stop |
| 15 | here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. |
| 16 | 36. Did Derek Westen agree with and intend that Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson |
| 17 | transfer their economic interest in Westen Family Group, LLC with the intent to hinder, delay, |
| 18 | or defraud one of Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's creditors? |
| 19 | X YESNO |
| 20 | If your answer to question 36 is yes, then answer question 37. If you answered no, skip |
| 21 | to question 38. |
| 22 | 37. In agreeing with Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson to transfer their economic |
| 23 | interest in Westen Family Group, LLC, did Derek Westen intend to hinder, delay or defraud one |
| 24 | of Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's creditors? |
| 25 | YESNO |
| 26 | Proceed to question 38. |
| 27 | 38. Did Derek Westen give substantial assistance or encouragement to Tracy Wester |
| 28 | and Linda Lawson to make the transfer of their economic interest in Westen Family Group, |
| | 8 SPECIAL VERDICT FORM RE DEFENDANT DEREK WESTEN |

| } | |
|----------|---|
| 1 | LLC? |
| 2 | YESNO |
| 3 | If your answer to question 38 is yes, then answer question 39. If you answered no, stop |
| 4 | here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. |
| 5 | 39. In giving substantial assistance or encouragement to Tracy Westen and Linda |
| 6 | Lawson, did Derek Westen intend to hinder, delay or defraud one of Tracy Westen's and Linda |
| 7 | Lawson's creditors? |
| 8 | YESNO |
| 9 | |
| 10 | $\int d^2 x d^2 x d^2 x$ |
| 11 | Dated: 09/08/2022 (MMM) |
| 12 | PRESIDING JUROR |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | · |
| | |
| | SPECIAL VERDICT FORM RE DEFENDANT DEREK WESTEN |

EXHIBIT B

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA.

SEP 08 2022

Darrel E. Parker, Executive Officer

| Y | Kristi Temple, Deputy Clerk

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

· 14

ANACAPA DIVISION

| 1 | nivnom r | A DIVISION |
|----|--|--|
| 11 | MICOVE MACEU : 2: 1 1 pgv | 0 N 450U01450 |
| 12 | NICOLE NAGEL, an individual; ESY INVESTMENTS, LLC, a California limited | Case No.: 15CV01178 |
| 13 | liability company, | SPECIAL VERDICT FORM REGARDING |
| 14 | Plaintiffs, | DEFENDANT PETER WESTEN |
| 15 | v. } | [Assigned to Hon. Donna D. Geck] |
| 16 | TRACY A. WESTEN and LINDA LAWSON,) individually and as trustees of the WESTEN) AND LAWSON TRUST U/A DATED MAY 8,) | |
| 17 | [1999, aka THE WESTEN-LAWSON 1999] | |
| 18 | REVOCABLE TRUST; DEREK WESTEN,) WESTEN FAMILY TRUST U/A DATED JULY 2,) | |
| 19 | 1999, PETER K. WESTEN, WESTEN 2010) TRUST U/A DATED JULY 28, 2010, WESTEN) | |
| 20 | FAMILY GROUP, LLC and DOES 1 through 50,) inclusive, | |
| 21 | Defendants. | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | We, the jury in the above-entitled action | n, answer the questions submitted to us as |
| 25 | follows: | |
| 26 | /// | |
| 27 | /// | |
| 28 | /// | |

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM RE DEFENDANT PETER WESTEN

| 1 | ISSUE NO. 1: ANNUITY |
|----|--|
| 2 | 1. Does Nicole Nagel have a right to payment from Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson |
| 3 | pursuant to her judgment against them? |
| 4 | YESNO |
| 5 | If your answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answered no, skip to |
| 6 | question 14. |
| 7 | 2. Did Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson transfer their Ameriprise annuity? |
| 8 | YES X_NO |
| 9 | If your answer to question 2 is yes, then answer question 3. If you answered no, skip to |
| 10 | question 14. |
| 11 | 3. Did Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson transfer their Ameriprise annuity with the |
| 12 | intent to hinder, delay, or defraud one of their creditors? |
| 13 | YESNO |
| 14 | If your answer to question 3 is yes, then answer question 4. If you answered no, skip to |
| 15 | question 14. |
| 16 | 4. Did Nicole Nagel incur harm? |
| 17 | YESNO |
| 18 | If your answer to question 4 is yes, then answer question 5. If you answered no, skip to |
| 19 | question 14. |
| 20 | 5. Was the transfer of the Ameriprise annuity a substantial factor in causing harm |
| 21 | to Nicole Nagel? |
| 22 | YESNO |
| 23 | If your answer to question 5 is yes, then answer question 6. If you answered no, skip to |
| 24 | question 14. |
| 25 | 6. What is the amount of Nicole Nagel's economic damages related to the transfer |
| 26 | of the Ameriprise annuity? |
| 27 | \$ |
| 28 | Answer question 7. |
| | 2 SPECIAL VERDICT FORM RE DEFENDANT PETER WESTEN |

| 1 | 7. | Was the transfer of the Ameriprise annuity a substantial factor in causing Nicole |
|----|---------------|---|
| 2 | Nagel to suf | fer physical or emotional injuries? |
| 3 | | YESNO |
| 4 | If you | ur answer to question 7 is yes, then answer question 8. If you answered no, skip to |
| 5 | question 9. | |
| 6 | 8. | What is the amount of damages for Nicole Nagel's physical or emotional injuries |
| 7 | related to th | e transfer of the Ameriprise annuity? |
| 8 | | \$ |
| 9 | Proc | eed to question 9. |
| 10 | 9. | Did Peter Westen know that Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson were going to |
| 11 | transfer the | ir Ameriprise annuity with the intent to hinder, delay or defraud one of their |
| 12 | creditors? | |
| 13 | · | YES NO |
| 14 | If you | ir answer to question 9 is yes, then answer question 10. If you answered no, skip |
| 15 | to question | 14. |
| 16 | 10. | Did Peter Westen agree with and intend that Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson |
| 17 | transfer the | ir Ameriprise annuity with the intent to hinder, delay, or defraud one of Tracy |
| 18 | Westen's an | d Linda Lawson's creditors? |
| 19 | | YESNO |
| 20 | If you | or answer to question 10 is yes, then answer question 11. If you answered no, skip |
| 21 | to question | 12. |
| 22 | 11. | In reaching an agreement with Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson to transfer their |
| 23 | Ameriprise | annuity, did Peter Westen intend to hinder, delay or defraud one of Tracy Westen's |
| 24 | and Linda L | awson's creditors? |
| 25 | | YESNO |
| 26 | Proce | eed to question 12. |
| 27 | 12. | Did Peter Westen give substantial assistance or encouragement to Tracy Westen |
| 28 | and Linda L | awson to make the transfer of their Ameriprise annuity? |
| | | 3 |
| ŀ | | SPECIAL VERDICT FORM RE DEFENDANT PETER WESTEN |

| 1 | YESNO |
|----|--|
| 2 | If your answer to question 12 is yes, then answer question 13. If you answered no, skip |
| 3 | to question 14. |
| 4 | 13. In providing substantial assistance or encouragement to Tracy Westen and |
| 5 | Linda Lawson with respect to the transfer of their Ameriprise annuity, did Peter Westen intend |
| 6 | to hinder, delay or defraud one of Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's creditors? |
| 7 | YESNO |
| 8 | Proceed to question 14. |
| 9 | ISSUE NO. 2: TEXAS HOUSE |
| 10 | 14. Does Nicole Nagel have a right to payment from Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson |
| 11 | pursuant to her judgment against them? |
| 12 | X YESNO |
| 13 | If your answer to question 14 is yes, then answer question 15. If you answered no, skip |
| 14 | to question 27. |
| 15 | 15. Did Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson's purchase of a home in Texas and claim of |
| 16 | a homestead exemption there constitute a transfer? |
| 17 | YESNO |
| 18 | If your answer to question 15 is yes, then answer question 16. If you answered no, skip |
| 19 | to question 27. |
| 20 | 16. Did Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson purchase a home in Texas and claim a |
| 21 | homestead exemption there with the intent to hinder, delay, or defraud one of their creditors? |
| 22 | X_YESNO |
| 23 | If your answer to question 16 is yes, then answer question 17. If you answered no, skip |
| 24 | to question 27. |
| 25 | 17. Did Nicole Nagel incur harm? |
| 26 | YESNO |
| 27 | If your answer to question 17 is yes, then answer question 18. If you answered no, skip |
| 28 | to question 27. |
| ļ | 4 |
| | SPECIAL VERDICT FORM RE DEFENDANT PETER WESTEN |

| 1 | 18. | Was Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's purchase of a home in Texas and claim |
|----|---------------|--|
| 2 | of a homeste | ad exemption there a substantial factor in causing harm to Nicole Nagel? |
| 3 | | YESNO |
| 4 | If you | r answer to question 18 is yes, then answer question 19. If you answered no, skip |
| 5 | to question 2 | 27. |
| 6 | 19. | What is the amount of Nicole Nagel's economic damages related to Tracy |
| 7 | Westen's and | d Linda Lawson's purchase of a home in Texas and claim of a homestead |
| 8 | exemption th | nere? |
| 9 | | <u>\$ 1,884,966.00</u> |
| 10 | Answ | er question 20. |
| 11 | 20. | Was Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's purchase of a home in Texas and claim |
| 12 | of a homeste | ad exemption there a substantial factor in causing Nicole Nagel to suffer physical |
| 13 | or emotional | |
| 14 | | X YESNO |
| 15 | If you | r answer to question 20 is yes, then answer question 21. If you answered no, skip |
| 16 | to question 2 | 22. |
| 17 | 21. | What is the amount of damages for Nicole Nagel's physical or emotional injuries |
| 18 | caused by Tr | acy Westen's and Linda Lawson's purchase of a home in Texas and claim of a |
| L9 | homestead e | exemption there? |
| 20 | | \$ 150,000.00 |
| 21 | Proce | ed to question 22. |
| 22 | 22. | Did Peter Westen know that Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson were going to |
| 23 | purchase a h | ome in Texas and claim a homestead exemption there with the intent to hinder, |
| 24 | delay or defr | aud one of Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's creditors? |
| 25 | | YES XNO |
| 26 | If you | r answer to question 22 is yes, then answer question 23. If you answered no, skip |
| 27 | to question 2 | 27. |
| 28 | 23. | Did Peter Westen agree with and intend that Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson |
| | | 5 |
| i | | SPECIAL VERDICT FORM RE DEFENDANT PETER WESTEN |

| 1 | purchase a home in Texas and claim a homestead exemption there with the intent to hinder, |
|------|--|
| 2 | delay, or defraud one of Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's creditors? |
| 3 | YESNO |
| 4 | If your answer to question 23 is yes, then answer question 24. If you answered no, skip |
| 5 | to question 25. |
| 6 | 24. In reaching an agreement with Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson regarding the |
| 7 | purchase of a home in Texas and claim of a homestead exemption there, did Peter Westen |
| 8 | intend to hinder, delay or defraud one of Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's creditors? |
| 9 | YESNO |
| 10 | Proceed to question 25. |
| 11 | 25. Did Peter Westen give substantial assistance or encouragement to Tracy Westen |
| 12 | and Linda Lawson with respect to the purchase of a home in Texas and claim of a homestead |
| L3 | exemption there? |
| L4 | YESNO |
| 15 | If your answer to question 25 is yes, then answer question 26. If you answered no, skip |
| 16 | to question 27. |
| ۱7 | 26. In giving substantial assistance or encouragement to Tracy Westen and Linda |
| 18 | Lawson with respect to purchasing a home in Texas and claiming a homestead exemption |
| ا 19 | there, did Peter Westen intend to hinder, delay or defraud one of Tracy Westen's and Linda |
| 20 | Lawson's creditors? |
| 21 | YES NO |
| 22 | Proceed to question 27. |
| 23 | ISSUE NO. 3: WESTEN FAMILY GROUP INTEREST |
| 24 | 27. Does Nicole Nagel have a right to payment from Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson |
| 25 | pursuant to her judgment against them? |
| 26 | YES NO |
| 27 | If your answer to question 27 is yes, then answer question 28. If you answered no, stop |
| 28 | here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. |
| | 6 |
| - 1 | SPECIAL VERDICT FORM RE DEFENDANT PETER WESTEN |

| 1 | 28. Did Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson transfer all or part of their economic | |
|----|---|--------|
| 2 | interest in Westen Family Group, a California limited liability company to Westen Family | |
| 3 | Group, a Nevada limited liability company? | |
| 4 | X YES NO | |
| 5 | If your answer to question 28 is yes, then answer question 29. If you answered no, | , stor |
| 6 | here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. | |
| 7 | 29. Did Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson transfer their economic interest in We | sten |
| 8 | Family Group, LLC with the intent to hinder, delay, or defraud one of their creditors? | |
| 9 | YESNO | |
| LO | If your answer to question 29 is yes, then answer question 30. If you answered no, | , stop |
| 11 | here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. | |
| .2 | 30. Did Nicole Nagel incur harm? | |
| .3 | X YESNO | |
| 4 | If your answer to question 30 is yes, then answer question 31. If you answered no, | , stop |
| 15 | here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. | |
| 6 | 31. Was the transfer of Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's economic interest in | a |
| 7 | Westen Family Group, LLC a substantial factor in causing harm to Nicole Nagel? | |
| 8. | YESNO | |
| .9 | If your answer to question 31 is yes, then answer question 32. If you answered no, | , stop |
| 20 | here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. | |
| 1 | 32. What is the amount of Nicole Nagel's economic damages related to the trans | sfer |
| 2 | Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's economic interest in Westen Family Group, LLC? | |
| 23 | \$ <u>0.0</u> | |
| 4 | Answer question 33. | |
| 5 | 33. Was the transfer of Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's economic interest in | a |
| 6 | Westen Family Group, LLC, a substantial factor in causing Nicole Nagel to suffer physical o | r |
| 7 | emotional injuries? | |
| 8 | X YESNO | |
| | 7 | |
| | SPECIAL VERDICT FORM RE DEFENDANT PETER WESTEN | |

| | · · |
|------------|--|
| 1 | If your answer to question 33 is yes, then answer question 34. If you answered no, skip |
| 2 | to question 35. |
| 3 | 34. What is the amount of damages for Nicole Nagel's physical or emotional injuries |
| 4 | caused by the transfer of Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's economic interest in Westen |
| 5 | Family Group, LLC? |
| 6 | \$ 50,000.00 |
| 7 | Proceed to question 35. |
| 8 | 35. Did Peter Westen know that Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson were going to |
| 9 | transfer their economic interest in Westen Family Group, LLC with the intent to hinder, delay |
| 10 | or defraud one of Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's creditors? |
| 11 | YESNO |
| 12 | If your answer to question 35 is yes, then answer question 36. If you answered no, stop |
| 13 | here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. |
| 14 | 36. Did Peter Westen agree with and intend that Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson |
| 15 | transfer their economic interest in Westen Family Group, LLC with the intent to hinder, delay, |
| 16 | or defraud one of Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's creditors? |
| 17 | YESNO |
| 18 | If your answer to question 36 is yes, then answer question 37. If you answered no, skip |
| 19 | to question 38. |
| 20 | 37. In agreeing with Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson to transfer their economic |
| 21 | interest in Westen Family Group, LLC, did Peter Westen intend to hinder, delay or defraud one |
| 22 | of Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's creditors? |
| 23 | YESNO |
| 24 | Proceed to question 38. |
| 25 | 38. Did Peter Westen give substantial assistance or encouragement to Tracy Westen |
| 26 | and Linda Lawson to make the transfer of their economic interest in Westen Family Group, |
| 27 | LLC? |
| 2 <u>8</u> | YES X_NO |
| | 8 SPECIAL VERDICT FORM RE DEFENDANT PETER WESTEN |

| - 1 | |
|-----|---|
| 1 | If your answer to question 38 is yes, then answer question 39. If you answered no, stop |
| 2 | here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. |
| 3 | 39. In giving substantial assistance or encouragement to Tracy Westen and Linda |
| 4 | Lawson, did Peter Westen intend to hinder, delay or defraud one of Tracy Westen's and Linda |
| 5 | Lawson's creditors? |
| 6 | YES NO |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | Dated: 09/08/2022 |
| 10 | PRESIDING JUROR |
| 11 | |
| 12 | · |
| 13 | · |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | 9 |
| | SPECIAL VERDICT FORM RE DEFENDANT PETER WESTEN |

EXHIBIT C

SEP 08 2022

Darrel E. Parker, Executive Officer Kristi Temple, Deputy Clerk

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA 10 ANACAPA DIVISION 11 NICOLE NAGEL, an individual; ESY Case No.: 15CV01178 12 INVESTMENTS, LLC, a California limited liability company, 13 SPECIAL VERDICT FORM REGARDING Plaintiffs. DEFENDANT WESTEN FAMILY GROUP, LLC 14 15 [Assigned to Hon, Donna D. Geck] TRACY A. WESTEN and LINDA LAWSON. 16 individually and as trustees of the WESTEN AND LAWSON TRUST U/A DATED MAY 8, 1999, aka THE WESTEN-LAWSON 1999 17 REVOCABLE TRUST; DEREK WESTEN, 18 WESTEN FAMILY TRUST U/A DATED JULY 2, 1999, PETER K. WESTEN, WESTEN 2010 19 TRUST U/A DATED JULY 28, 2010, WESTEN FAMILY GROUP, LLC and DOES 1 through 50, 20 inclusive. 21 Defendants. 22 23 We, the jury in the above-entitled action, answer the questions submitted to us as 24 follows: 25 III26 /// 27 28 ///

| 1 | ISSUE NO. 1: ANNUITY |
|----|--|
| 2 | 1. Does Nicole Nagel have a right to payment from Tracy Westen and Linda Lawso |
| 3 | pursuant to her judgment against them? |
| 4 | X_YESNO |
| 5 | If your answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answered no, skip to |
| 6 | question 14. |
| 7 | 2. Did Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson transfer their Ameriprise annuity? |
| 8 | YES X_NO |
| 9 | If your answer to question 2 is yes, then answer question 3. If you answered no, skip to |
| LO | question 14. |
| ۱1 | 3. Did Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson transfer their Ameriprise annuity with the |
| 12 | intent to hinder, delay, or defraud one of their creditors? |
| l3 | YES NO |
| 14 | If your answer to question 3 is yes, then answer question 4. If you answered no, skip to |
| 15 | question 14. |
| 16 | 4. Did Nicole Nagel incur harm? |
| 17 | YESNO |
| 18 | If your answer to question 4 is yes, then answer question 5. If you answered no, skip to |
| .9 | question 14. |
| 20 | 5. Was the transfer of the Ameriprise annuity a substantial factor in causing harm |
| 21 | to Nicole Nagel? |
| 22 | YESNO |
| 23 | If your answer to question 5 is yes, then answer question 6. If you answered no, skip to |
| 4 | question 14. |
| 25 | 6. What is the amount of Nicole Nagel's economic damages related to the transfer |
| :6 | of the Ameriprise annuity? |
| 27 | \$ |
| 28 | Answer question 7. |
| | 2 |
| ļ | SPECIAL VERDICT FORM RE DEFENDANT WESTEN FAMILY GROUP, LLC |

| 1 | 7. | Was the transfer of the Ameriprise annuity a substantial factor in causing Nicole |
|------|----------------|--|
| 2 | Nagel to suff | er physical or emotional injuries? |
| 3 | | YES NO |
| 4 | lf you | r answer to question 7 is yes, then answer question 8. If you answered no, skip to |
| 5 | question 9. | |
| 6 | 8. | What is the amount of damages for Nicole Nagel's physical or emotional injuries |
| 7 | related to the | e transfer of the Ameriprise annuity? |
| 8 | | \$ |
| 9 | Proce | ed to question 9. |
| ιο | 9. | Did Westen Family Group, LLC know that Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson were |
| L1 | going to tran | sfer their Ameriprise annuity with the intent to hinder, delay or defraud one of |
| 12 | their credito | rs? |
| l3 | | YES NO |
| ۱4 | If you | r answer to question 9 is yes, then answer question 10. If you answered no, skip |
| 15 | to question 1 | .4. |
| 16 | 10. | Did Westen Family Group, LLC agree with and intend that Tracy Westen and |
| ا 17 | Linda Lawso | n transfer their Ameriprise annuity with the intent to hinder, delay, or defraud |
| 18 | one of Tracy | Westen's and Linda Lawson's creditors? |
| ا 19 | | YES NO |
| 20 | If you | r answer to question 10 is yes, then answer question 11. If you answered no, skip |
| 21 | to question 1 | 2. |
| 22 | 11. | In reaching an agreement with Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson to transfer their |
| 23 | Ameriprise a | nnuity, did Westen Family Group, LLC intend to hinder, delay or defraud one of |
| 4 | Tracy Wester | n's and Linda Lawson's creditors? |
| 25 | | YESNO |
| 26 | Proce | ed to question 12. |
| 27 | 12. | Did Westen Family Group, LLC give substantial assistance or encouragement to |
| 28 | Tracy Wester | n and Linda Lawson to make the transfer of their Ameriprise annuity? |
| | | 3 |
| | j Si | PECIAL VERDICT FORM RE DEFENDANT WESTEN FAMILY GROUP, LLC |

| 1 | YES NO |
|----|--|
| 2 | If your answer to question 12 is yes, then answer question 13. If you answered no, skip |
| 3 | to question 14. |
| 4 | 13. In providing substantial assistance or encouragement to Tracy Westen and |
| 5 | Linda Lawson with respect to the transfer of their Ameriprise annuity, did Westen Family |
| 6 | Group, LLC intend to hinder, delay or defraud one of Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's |
| 7 | creditors? |
| 8 | YESNO |
| 9 | Proceed to question 14. |
| 10 | ISSUE NO. 2: TEXAS HOUSE |
| ι1 | 14. Does Nicole Nagel have a right to payment from Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson |
| 12 | pursuant to her judgment against them? |
| L3 | YESNO |
| 14 | If your answer to question 14 is yes, then answer question 15. If you answered no, skip |
| L5 | to question 27. |
| ۱6 | 15. Did Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson's purchase of a home in Texas and claim of |
| 17 | a homestead exemption there constitute a transfer? |
| 18 | X YESNO |
| 19 | If your answer to question 15 is yes, then answer question 16. If you answered no, skip |
| 20 | to question 27. |
| 21 | 16. Did Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson purchase a home in Texas and claim a |
| 22 | homestead exemption there with the intent to hinder, delay, or defraud one of their creditors? |
| 23 | YESNO |
| 24 | If your answer to question 16 is yes, then answer question 17. If you answered no, skip |
| 25 | to question 27. |
| 26 | 17. Did Nicole Nagel incur harm? |
| 27 | YESNO |
| 28 | · |
| | 4 |
| I | SPECIAL VERDICT FORM RE DEFENDANT WESTEN FAMILY GROUP, LLC |

| 1 | If your answer to question 17 is yes, then answer question 18. If you answered no, skip |
|-----|--|
| 2 | to question 27. |
| 3 | 18. Was Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's purchase of a home in Texas and claim |
| 4 | of a homestead exemption there a substantial factor in causing harm to Nicole Nagel? |
| 5 | X YESNO |
| 6 | If your answer to question 18 is yes, then answer question 19. If you answered no, skip |
| 7 | to question 27. |
| 8 | 19. What is the amount of Nicole Nagel's economic damages related to Tracy |
| 9 | Westen's and Linda Lawson's purchase of a home in Texas and claim of a homestead |
| 10 | exemption there? |
| 11 | \$ 1,884,966.°° |
| 12 | Answer question 20. |
| 13 | 20. Was Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's purchase of a home in Texas and claim |
| 14 | of a homestead exemption there a substantial factor in causing Nicole Nagel to suffer physical |
| 15 | or emotional injuries? |
| 16 | X YESNO |
| 17 | If your answer to question 20 is yes, then answer question 21. If you answered no, skip |
| 18 | to question 22. |
| 19 | 21. What is the amount of damages for Nicole Nagel's physical or emotional injuries |
| 20 | caused by Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's purchase of a home in Texas and claim of a |
| 21 | homestead exemption there? |
| 22 | \$ 150,000.00 |
| 23 | Proceed to question 22. |
| 24 | 22. Did Westen Family Group, LLC know that Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson were |
| 25 | going to purchase a home in Texas and claim a homestead exemption there with the intent to |
| 26 | hinder, delay or defraud one of Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's creditors? |
| 27 | YESNO |
| 28 | If your answer to question 22 is yes, then answer question 23. If you answered no, skip |
| | 5 |
| - 1 | SPECIAL VERDICT FORM RE DEFENDANT WESTEN FAMILY GROUP, LLC |

| 1 | to question 27. |
|------|--|
| 2 | 23. Did Westen Family Group, LLC agree with and intend that Tracy Westen and |
| 3 | Linda Lawson purchase a home in Texas and claim a homestead exemption there with the |
| 4 | intent to hinder, delay, or defraud one of Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's creditors? |
| 5 | YESXNO |
| 6 | If your answer to question 23 is yes, then answer question 24. If you answered no, skip |
| 7 | to question 25. |
| 8 | 24. In reaching an agreement with Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson regarding the |
| 9 | purchase of a home in Texas and claim of a homestead exemption there, did Westen Family |
| ιο | Group, LLC intend to hinder, delay or defraud one of Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's |
| (1 | creditors? |
| ۱2 | YESNO |
| L3 | Proceed to question 25. |
| L4 | 25. Did Westen Family Group, LLC give substantial assistance or encouragement to |
| 15 | Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson with respect to the purchase of a home in Texas and claim of |
| ۱6 | a homestead exemption there? |
| 17 | YES X NO |
| 18 | If your answer to question 25 is yes, then answer question 26. If you answered no, skip |
| ا 19 | to question 27. |
| 20 | 26. In giving substantial assistance or encouragement to Tracy Westen and Linda |
| 21 | Lawson with respect to purchasing a home in Texas and claiming a homestead exemption |
| 22 | there, did Westen Family Group, LLC intend to hinder, delay or defraud one of Tracy Westen's |
| 23 | and Linda Lawson's creditors? |
| 24 | YESNO |
| 25 | Proceed to question 27. |
| 26 | ISSUE NO. 3: WESTEN FAMILY GROUP INTEREST |
| 27 | 27. Does Nicole Nagel have a right to payment from Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson |
| 28 | pursuant to her judgment against them? |
| | 6 SPECIAL VERDICT FORM RE DEFENDANT WESTEN FAMILY GROUP, LLC |

| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 1 | X YESNO |
| 2 | If your answer to question 27 is yes, then answer question 28. If you answered no, stop |
| 3 | here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. |
| 4 | 28. Did Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson transfer all or part of their economic |
| 5 | interest in Westen Family Group, a California limited liability company to Westen Family |
| 6 | Group, a Nevada limited liability company? |
| 7 | X YESNO |
| 8 | If your answer to question 28 is yes, then answer question 29. If you answered no, stop |
| 9 | here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. |
| 10 | 29. Did Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson transfer their economic interest in Westen |
| 11 | Family Group, LLC with the intent to hinder, delay, or defraud one of their creditors? |
| 12 | X YESNO |
| 13 | If your answer to question 29 is yes, then answer question 30. If you answered no, stop |
| 14 | here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. |
| 15 | 30. Did Nicole Nagel incur harm? |
| 16 | X YESNO |
| 17 | If your answer to question 30 is yes, then answer question 31. If you answered no, stop |
| 18 | here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. |
| 19 | 31. Was the transfer of Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's economic interest in |
| 20 | Westen Family Group, LLC a substantial factor in causing harm to Nicole Nagel? |
| 21 | YESNO |
| 22 | If your answer to question 31 is yes, then answer question 32. If you answered no, stop |
| 23 | here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. |
| 24 | 32. What is the amount of Nicole Nagel's economic damages related to the transfer |
| 25 | Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's economic interest in Westen Family Group, LLC? |
| 26 | \$ 1,250,000.°° |
| 27 | Answer question 33. |
| 28 | 33. Was the transfer of Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's economic interest in |
| | 7 |
| | SPECIAL VERDICT FORM RE DEFENDANT WESTEN FAMILY GROUP, LLC |

| 1 | Westen Family Group, LLC, a substantial factor in causing Nicole Nagel to suffer physical or |
|----|--|
| 2 | emotional injuries? |
| 3 | X_YESNO |
| 4 | If your answer to question 33 is yes, then answer question 34. If you answered no, ski |
| 5 | to question 35. |
| 6 | 34. What is the amount of damages for Nicole Nagel's physical or emotional injurie |
| 7 | caused by the transfer of Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's economic interest in Westen |
| 8 | Family Group, LLC? |
| 9 | \$ 750,000.00 |
| 10 | Proceed to question 35. |
| 11 | 35. Did Westen Family Group, LLC know that Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson wer |
| 12 | going to transfer their economic interest in Westen Family Group, LLC with the intent to |
| 13 | hinder, delay or defraud one of Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's creditors? |
| 14 | X YESNO |
| 15 | If your answer to question 35 is yes, then answer question 36. If you answered no, sto |
| 16 | here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. |
| 17 | 36. Did Westen Family Group, LLC agree with and intend that Tracy Westen and |
| 18 | Linda Lawson transfer their economic interest in Westen Family Group, LLC with the intent t |
| 19 | hinder, delay, or defraud one of Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's creditors? |
| 20 | X YESNO |
| 21 | If your answer to question 36 is yes, then answer question 37. If you answered no, sk |
| 22 | to question 38. |
| 23 | 37. In agreeing with Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson to transfer their economic |
| 24 | interest in Westen Family Group, LLC, did Westen Family Group, LLC intend to hinder, delay |
| 25 | defraud one of Tracy Westen's and Linda Lawson's creditors? |
| 26 | X YESNO |
| 27 | Proceed to question 38. |
| 28 | 38. Did Westen Family Group, LLC give substantial assistance or encouragement to |
| | 8 |
| } | SPECIAL VERDICT FORM RE DEFENDANT WESTEN FAMILY GROUP, LLC |

| 1 | Tracy Westen and Linda Lawson to make the transfer of their economic interest in Westen |
|----|--|
| 2 | Family Group, LLC? |
| 3 | X_yesno |
| 4 | If your answer to question 38 is yes, then answer question 39. If you answered no, stop |
| 5 | here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. |
| 6 | 39. In giving substantial assistance or encouragement to Tracy Westen and Linda |
| 7 | Lawson, did Westen Family Group, LLC intend to hinder, delay or defraud one of Tracy |
| 8 | Westen's and Linda Lawson's creditors? |
| 9 | X_YESNO |
| 10 | , |
| 11 | |
| 12 | Dated: 09/08/2022 |
| 13 | PRESIDING JUROR |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | 9 SPECIAL VERDICT FORM RE DEFENDANT WESTEN FAMILY GROUP, LLC |
| Į | SI BOIND VERDICT FORM REDEFERDARIT WESTER PARTIES AROUP, LLC |